

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Application No.: **10/815,430** Confirmation No.: **7637**
Applicant: **Plow et al.** Filed: **March 31, 2004**
Art Unit: **2179** Examiner: **Nicholas Augustine**
Docket No.: **SVL920030143US1** Customer No.: **55070**
Title: **Dynamic Materialization of Disappearing Entities**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT SUMMARY OF INTERVIEWS WITH EXAMINER

S I R:

Applicants' representative, Janet M. Skafar, thanks Examiner Nicholas Augustine for the telephonic Examiner Interview on July 24, 2008. During the interview, Claims 1, 47 and 50 were discussed in view of the Examiner's Responses R2 and R3 of the Office Action dated July 1, 2008, and the Examiner's Responses R2 and R3 were clarified. No agreement was reached.

Applicants' representative, Janet M. Skafar, thanks Examiner Nicholas Augustine for the telephonic Examiner Interview on August 11, 2008. The Examiner reviewed the proposed new claim in the fax to the Examiner of August 6, 2008. The Examiner suggested changing "different" to "separate". No agreement was reached.

August 15, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



Janet M. Skafar, Attorney

Reg. No. 41,315

Correspondence Customer No. 55070

Telephone: (650)988-0655

Facsimile: (408) 463-4827

Attachment: fax to Examiner of August 6, 2008

FAX To Examiner

Date: August 6, 2008

To: Examiner Nicholas Augustine (phone: 571-270-1056)

From: Janet M. Skafar (phone: 650-988-0655)

Sent to fax: 571-270-2056

Total number of pages, including cover sheet: 1 page

RE: Application No.: 10/815,430 (SVL920030143US1)

Examiner Augustine,

Thank for returning my call today, August 6, 2008, and offering to review and discuss proposed amendments to the claims. I am faxing this new proposed claim below for discussion purposes only.

Claim A (new): A computer-implemented method, comprising:

displaying a subset of information of a file in a scrollable area, wherein a portion of the subset of information that is displayed is designated as a materialization entity; scrolling the scrollable area;

in response to the materialization entity being scrolled out of view in the scrollable area, displaying the materialization entity in a materialization area, the materialization area being different from the scrollable area; and

in response to the materialization entity being scrolled back into view in the scrollable area, removing the materialization entity from the materialization area.

I would appreciate your taking the time to review and discuss this claim with me.

Thank you,
Janet M. Skafar
Reg. No. 41,315